

APPLICATION FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE Revised 7/93 CB8/5

IMPORTANT: <u>Applicant should consult the "Instructions for Completion of Project Application" for assistance in the proper completion of this form.</u>

SUBDIVISION: CITY OF	CINCINNATI	CODE#06115000_		
DISTRICT NUMBER: 2	COUNTY: <u>HAMILTON</u>	DATE 10 / 1 / 93		
	THE INDIVIDUAL WHO WILL BE AVAILABLE IT ANSWER OR COORDINATE THE RESPONSE T	•		
SUBDIVISION TYPE (Check Only 1) 1. County X_2. City 3. Township 4. Village 5. Water/Sanitary District (Section 6119 O.R.C.)	FUNDING TYPE REQUESTED (Check All Requested & Enter Amount) X 1. Grant \$ 140. 2. Loan \$ 3. Loan Assistance \$ MBE SET-ASIDE OFFERED Construction \$ 200,000	PROJECT TYPE (Check Largest Component)		
TOTAL PROJECT COST:\$ 200,000 FUNDING REQUESTED:\$ 140,000				
DISTRICT RECOMMENDATION To be completed by the District Committee ONLY				
GRANT: \$ 140,000 LOAN: \$	LOAN ASSIST %TERM:	ANCE: \$		
(Check Only I) X State Capical Improvement Pro Local Transportation Improve Small Government Program				
	FOR OPWC USE ONL	Y		
PROJECT NUMBER: C /C Local Participation % OPWC Participation % Project Release Date: / /C OPWC Approval:	Loan Interest R Loan Term:	UNDING:\$years		

1.0 PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

1.1	PROJECT ESTIMATED COSTS (Round to Nearest Dollar)	:		MBE Forc	e Account
a.)	Project Engineering Costs: 1. Preliminary Engineering 2. Final Design 3. Other Engineer Services * Supervision \$ Miscellaneous \$	\$ \$ \$.00	.00 .00 .00	\$	\$
b.)	Acquisition Expenses: 1. Land 2. Right-of-Way	\$ \$.00		
c.) d.) e.) f.)	Construction Costs: Equipment Purchased Directly: Other Direct Expenses: Contingencies:	\$200,00 \$ \$ \$		<u>200,00</u> 0	
g.)	TOTAL ESTIMATED COSTS:	\$ 200,0	00.00		
1.2	PROJECT FINANCIAL RESOUR	RCES:			
a.) b.) c.) d.)	Local In-Kind Contributions Local Public Revenues Local Private Revenues Other Public Revenues 1. ODOT PID# \$ 2. EPA/OWDA 3. OTHER	\$ \$ 60,00 \$.00 \$ \$.00 .00.00 .00 .00		%
SUB 7	TOTAL LOCAL RESOURCES:			\$ 60,000.00	30%
e.)	OPWC Funds 1. Grant 2. Loan 3. Loan Assistance	\$ 140,00 \$ \$	00.00 00. 00.		
SUB 7	TOTAL OPWC RESOURCES:			\$ 140,000.00	70%
f.)	TOTAL FINANCIAL RESOURC	ES:		\$ 200,000.00	100%

^{*}Other Engineer's Services must be outlined in detail on the required certified engineer's estimate.

1.3 AVAILABILITY OF LOCAL FUNDS:

Attach a summary from the <u>Chief Financial Officer</u> listed in section 5.2 listing <u>all local share funds</u> budgeted for the project and the date they are anticipated to be available.

2.0 PROJECT INFORMATION

IMPORTANT: If project is multi-jurisdictional, information must be consolidated in this section.

- 2.1 PROJECT NAME: Linn Street (south) Rehabilitation
- 2.2 BRIEF PROJECT DESCRIPTION (Sections a through d):
 - a: SPECIFIC LOCATION:

Linn Street from Dalton St. to Eighth St. (see attached map)

PROJECT ZIP CODE: 45203

b: PROJECT COMPONENTS:

Rehabilitation of existing roadway including repair and replacement of curb, base and joint repairs, inlet and connection pipe repairs, traffic island repairs, casting adjustments and resurfacing with a minimum of 2 inches of asphaltic concrete.

c: PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS / CHARACTERISTICS:

Roadway is 7 lanes, 95 feet in width and 1000 feet in length.

d: DESIGN SERVICE CAPACITY:

IMPORTANT: Detail shall be included regarding current service capacity vs proposed service level. If road or bridge project, include ADT. If water or wastewater project, include both current residential rates based on monthly usage of 7,756 gallon per household.

Attach current rate ordinance.

ADT = 11,000

No change in service capacity

Will use standard rehabilitation practices to upgrade the roadway to excellent condition.

2.3 USEFUL LIFE / COST ESTIMATE: Project Useful Life: 20 Years.

Attach Registered Professional Engineer's statement, with original seal and signature certifying the project's useful life indicated above and estimated cost.

3.0 REPAIR/REPLACEMENT or NEW/EXPANSION:

		RTION OF PROJEC Requested for Repai	T REPAIR/REPLACEMENT \$ 200,000 100% ir and Replacement \$ 140,000 70%
		RTION OF PROJEC Requested for New a	CT NEW/EXPANSION \$% and Expansion \$%
4.0	PRO	JECT SCHEDUL	LE:*
			BEGIN DATE END DATE
	4.1	Engineering/Design	
	4.2	Bid Advertisement:	
	4.3	Construction:	9/ 1/94 12/ 31/95
dates m	ust be ap	proved in writing by the Co	alt in termination of agreement for approved projects. Modification of ommission once the Project Agreement has been executed. Dates should on July 1st. of the Program Year applied for.
5.0	APP	LICANT INFORT	MATION:
5.1	CHIE	F EXECUTIVE	
**-	OFFIC		John F. Shirey
	TITLE	3	City Manager
	STRE	ET	Room 152, City Hall
			801 Plum Street
	CITY/	'ZIP	Cincinnati. Ohio 45202
	PHON	ΙE	(513 <u>)352 - 3241 </u>
	FAX		()
5.2	CHIE	F FINANCIAL	
5.2	OFFIC		Frank A. Dawson
	TITLE		Finance Director
	STRE		Room 250, City Hall
			801 Plum Street
	CITY/	ZIP	Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
	PHON	TE .	(513 <u>)352 - 3731</u>
	FAX		()
5.3	זז∩קק	ECT MANAGER	Jay Gala
ر, ر	TITLE		Principal Construction Engineer
			Room 415, City Hall
		-	801 Plum Street
	CITY/	ZIP	Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
	PHON		(513)352 - 3423
	FAX		(513)352 - 1581

6.0 ATTACHMENTS/COMPLETENESS REVIEW:

Check each section below, confirming that all required information is included in this application.
A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated official to submit this application and execute contracts. (Attach)
A summary from the applicant's Chief Financial Officer listing all local share funds budgeted for the project and the date they are anticipated to be available. (Attach)
A registered professional engineer's estimate of projects useful life and cost estimate, as required in 164-1-14 and 164-1-16 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimates shall contain engineer's <u>original seal and signature</u> . (Attach)
<u>N/A</u> A copy of the cooperation agreement(s) if this project involves more than one subdivision or district. (Attach)
A: Attached. B: Report/Update Filed with the Commission within the last twelve months.
NA Floodplain Management Permit: Required if project is in 100 year floodplain. See Instructions.
Supporting Documentation: Materials such as additional project description, photographs, economic impact (temporary and/or full time jobs likely to be created as a result of the project), and other information to assist your district committee in ranking your project.
7.0 APPLICANT CERTIFICATION:
The undersigned certifies that: (1) he/she is legally authorized to request and accept financial assistance from the Ohio Public Works Commission; (2) that to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are part of this application are true and correct; (3) that all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the applicant; and, (4) should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio Law, including those involving minority business utilization, Buy Ohio, and prevailing wages.
IMPORTANT: Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in the application has NOT begun, and will not begin until a Project Agreement on this project has been executed with the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary will result in termination of the agreement and withdrawal of Ohio Public Works Commission funding of the project.
Frank A. Dawson. Acting City Manager
Certifying Representative (Type or Print Name and Title)
I'm Gm
Signature/Date Signed

City of Cincinnati



Department of Public Works Division of Engineering Room 440, City Hall 801 Plum Street Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

John Hamner Director

Prem Garg, P.E. Acting City Engineer

October 1, 1993

Subject: Linn Street (South) Rehabilitation

Dalton to Eighth

Certification of Useful Life of Issue 2 OPWC Projects

As required by Chapter 164-1-13 of the Ohio Administrative Code, I hereby certify that the design useful life of the subject street rehabilitation project is at least twenty (20) years.

PREM
K.
GARG
39840
39840
COSTERED
ONAL

(seal)

Prem Garg, P.E. City Engineer

City of Cincinnati

1994 STREET REHABILITATION, SCIP Linn Street (South)

REF.	ITEM NO.	ESTIMATED QUANTITIES	DESCRIPTION	EST. UNIT PRICE	ESTIMATED COST
		~		TRICE	0031
1	103.05	Lump Sum	Contract Bond		\$3,740.00
2	Special	1,250 s.y.	Part Depth Pavt. Rep(Conc. Pavt.)	\$27.00	\$33,750.00
3	Special	10 c.y.	Maintenance Patching	\$80.00	\$800.00
4	Special	100 l.f.	Connection Pipe Cleaned	\$10.00	\$1,000.00
5	202	650 s.y.	Rigid Pavt. Removed-Full Depth	\$25.00	\$16,250.00
6	301	160 c.y.	Bituminous Aggregrate Base	\$85.00	\$13,600.00
7	403	350 с.у.	Asphalt Concrete Leveling Course	\$62.00	\$21,700.00
8	404	350 с.у.	Asphalt Concrete Surface Course	\$62.00	\$21,700.00
9	603	50 l.f.	12" Conduit, Type "H"	\$30.00	\$1,500.00
10	604	4 ea.	Manhole Adjust to Grade W/O Ring	\$175.00	\$700.00
11	604	2 ea.	Valve Chambers Adjust W/O Ring	\$175.00	\$350.00
12	604	1 ea.	SGI Adjusted To Grade	\$230.00	\$230.00
13	604	1 ea.	SGI Repaired & Adjusted To Grade	\$260.00	\$260.00
14	604	6 еа.	DGI Adjusted To Grade	\$230.00	\$1,380.00
15	604	2 ea.	DGI Repaired & Adjusted To Grade	\$260.00	\$520.00
16	608	500 s.f.	Handicap Ramp	\$4.00	\$2,000.00
17	608	250 s.f.	Concrete Walk	\$4.00	\$1,000.00
18	609	3,000 l.f.	Concrete Curb Repair, Type P-4	\$16.00	\$48,000.00
19	609	100 l.f.	Concrete Curb , Type L-1	\$8.00	\$800.00
20	612	500 s.f.	Conc. Median & Traffic Island Repair	\$7.00	\$3,500.00
21	627	5,000 s.f.	Concrete Driveway	\$5.00	\$25,000.00
22	1125	2 ea.	Reset Ex. Valve Box W/O Adjusters	\$110.00	\$220.00
23	619	Lump Sum	Field Office		\$2,000.00

\$200,000.00 Total Cost

GARG

Prem Garg, P.E.

City Engineer City of Cincinnati

City of Cincinnati



Department of Finance

Room 250, City Hull 801 Plum Street Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

J. L. Andreyko Director

October 1, 1993

Laurence Bicking, Director Ohio Public Works Commission 65 East State Street Suite 312 Columbus, Ohio 43215

Re: Status of Funds for Local Share of 1994 SCIP/LTIP Program

Dear Mr. Bicking:


The local matching share for the 1994 SCIP/LTIP Projects (Round 8 Funding) is recommended by the City Manager for funding in the City's 1994 Capital Improvement Program. The funds are coming from Street Improvement Bonds which are scheduled for sale in the early part of 1994.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact this office.

Sincerely,

J. L. Andreyko

Acting Director of Finance



LINN STREET (South)





ADDITIONAL SUPPORT INFORMATION

For Program Year 1994 (July 1, 1994 through June 30, 1995), jurisdictions shall provide the following support information to help determine which projects will be funded. Information on this form must be accurate, and where called for, based on sound engineering principles. Documentation to substantiate the individual items may be required by the Support Staff if information does not appear to be accurate.

مناب شد یا	ormacron does not appear to se	accurace.
1)	What is the condition of the be replaced, repaired, or exact a copy of the current State	panded? For bridges, submit
	Closed	Poor X
	Fair	Good
presursubsigicaps	sent facility such as: inade face type and width; number o standard design elements such ht distances, drainage strue	ature of the deficiency of the equate load capacity (bridge); of lanes; structural condition; as berm width, grades, curves, ctures, or inadequate service eximate age of the infrastructure aded.
<u>The</u>	roadway has a Pavement Condit	ion Number of 62 (poor) and
Dyna	aflect tests indicate a Base Co	ondition Index of 69 (poor).
		pavement failures, heaved joints
2)	months) after receiving th (tentatively set for July 1, contract? The Support Staff	
	Are preliminary plans or engin	neering completed? (Yes) No
	Are detailed construction plan	
	Are all right-of-way and ease	ments acquired? Yes No $\widehat{\mathrm{N/A}}$
	Are all utility coordinations	completed? Yes No N/A
	Give an estimate of time, in the item above not yet completed.	weeks or months, to complete any

commerce.) Please be specific and provide documentation if necessary to substantiate the data.
Will assist in maintaining current tax base and will provide
satisfactory road network for motoring public.
4) What type of funds are to be utilized for the local share for this project?
Federal ODOT Local X
MRF OWDA CD
Other
Note: If MRF funds are being used for the local share, the MRF application must have been filed by August 1, 1993 for this project with the Hamilton County Engineer's Office.
The minimum amount of matching funds for grant projects (local share) must be at least 10% of the TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST. What percentage of matching funds are being committed to this project?
30%
5) Has any formal action by a federal, state, or local government agency resulted in a complete or partial ban of the use or expansion of use for the involved infrastructure? (Typical examples include weight limits, truck restrictions, and moratoriums or limitations on issuance of building permits.) A copy of the legislation must be submitted with the application. THE BAN MUST HAVE AN ENGINEERING JUSTIFICATION TO BE VALID.
Complete Ban Partial Ban No Ban X
Will the ban be removed after the project is completed?
Yes No

3) How will the proposed project impact the general health, safety and welfare of the service area? (Typical examples may include the effects of the completed project on accident rates, emergency response time, fire protection, health hazards, user benefits, and

6)	What is the total number of existing users that will benefit as a result of the proposed project?
	Users = 13,200
	For roads and bridges, multiply current <u>documented</u> Average Daily Traffic by 1.20. For public transit, submit documentation substantiating the count. Where the facility currently has any restrictions or is partially closed, use documented traffic counts prior to the restriction. For storm sewers, sanitary sewers, water lines, and other related facilities, multiply the number of households in the service area by 4.
7)	Has the jurisdiction developed a Five Year Capital Improvement Plan as required in O.R.C., chapter 164? (This must be included with the application to be considered for funding.)
	Yes X No
8)	Give a brief statement concerning the regional significance of the infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded. This street is part of Federal Aid Urban System and is
	classified as a thoroughfare. Street provides access for
	trucks to the interstate from the industrial Queensgate area.

STATE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

LOCAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM ROUND NO. 8

PROGAM YEAR 1994 PROJECT SELECTION CRITERIA - JULY 1, 1994 TO JUNE 30, 1995

ADOPTED BY THE DISTRICT 2 INTEGRATING COMMITTEE

JULY 16, 1993

JURISDICTION/AGENCY: City of Cincinnati
NAME OF PROJECT: Linn Street (South) Rehabilitation
TOTAL POINTS FOR THIS PROJECT: 19
NO. POINTS
1) If SCIP/LTIP Funds are granted, when would the construction contract be awarded? (The Support Staff will assign points based on engineering experience.)
10 Points - Will be under contract by December 31, 1994
5 Points - Will be under contract by March 30, 1995
0 Points - Will not be under contract by March 30, 1995
2) What is the condition of the infrastructure to be replaced or repaired? For bridges, base condition on latest general appraisal and condition rating.
20 Points - Poor Condition 16 Points - 12 Points - Fair to Poor Condition 8 Points - 4 Points - Fair Condition
NOTE: If the infrastructure is in "good" or better condition it will NOT be considered for SCIP/LTIP funding, unless it is a betterment project that will improve

serviceability.

- If the project is built, what will be its effect on 3) the facility's serviceability? 2 10 Points - Significant effect (e.g., widen to and add lanes along entire project) 8 Points - Moderate to significant effect 6 Points - Moderate effect (e.g., widen exist. lanes) 4 Points - Moderate to little effect 2 Points - Little or no effect (e.g., street or bridge deck rehabilitation) 4) How important is the project to HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE of the public and the citizens of the District and/or service area? 10 Points - Highly significant importance, with substantial impact on all 3 factors 8 Points - Considerably significant importance, with substantial impact on 2 factors OR noticeable impact on all 3 factors 6 Points - Moderate importance, with substantial impact on 1 factor or noticeable impact on 2 factors 4 Points - Minimal importance, with noticeable impact on 1 factor 2 Points - No measurable impact 6 5) What is the overall economic health of the jurisdiction? 10 Points - Poor 8 Points -6 Points - Fair 4 Points -2 Points - Excellent 3 6) What matching funds are being committed to the project, expressed as a percentage of the TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST? Loan and Credit Enhancement projects automatically receive 5 points, and no match is required. All grant funded
 - projects require a minimum of 10% matching funds.
 - 5 Points 50% or more 4 Points - 40% to 49.99% 3 Points - 30% to 39.99% 2 Points - 20% to 29.99% 1 Point - 10% to 19.99%

- O 7) Has any formal action by a federal, state, or local government agency resulted in a partial or complete ban of the usage or expansion of the usage for the involved infrastructure? POINTS MAY ONLY BE AWARDED IF THE END RESULT OF THE PROJECT WILL CAUSE THE BAN TO BE LIFTED.
 - 5 Points Complete or significant ban
 - 3 Points Partial or moderate ban
 - O Points No ban of any kind
- 5 8) What is the total number of existing daily users that will benefit as a result of the proposed project? Appropriate criteria include current traffic counts, households served, when converted to a measurement of persons. Public transit users are permitted to be counted for roads and bridges, but only when certifiable ridership figures are provided.
 - 5 Points 10,000 or more
 - 4 Points 7,500 to 9,999
 - 3 Points 5,000 to 7,499
 - 2 Points 2,500 to 4,999
 - 1 Point 2,499 and under
 - 9) Does the infrastructure have REGIONAL impact? Consider origins and destinations of traffic, functional classification, size of service area, number of jurisdictions served, etc.
 - 5 Points Major impact (e.g., major multi-jurisdictional route, primary feed route to an Interstate, Federal Aid Primary routes)
 - 4 Points -

 - 2 Points -
- 10) Has the jurisdiction enacted the optional \$5 license plate fee, an infrastructure levy, a user fee, or a dedicated tax for infrastructure?
 - 2 Points Two of the above
 - 1 Point One of the above
 - O Points None of the above

ADDENDUM TO THE RATING SYSTEM DEFINITIONS

CRITERION 2 - CONDITION

Poor - Condition is dangerous, unsafe or unusable

Fair to Poor - Condition is inadequate or substandard

Fair - Condition is average, not good or poor

CRITERION 5 - ECONOMIC HEALTH

The following factors are used to determine economic health:

- 1) Median per capita income
- 2) Per capita assessed valuation of the total community real estate and personal property
- 3) Poverty indicators
- 4) Effective tax rates
- 5) Total corporate debt as a percentage of assessed valuation
- 6) Municipal revenues and expenditures per capita

CRITERION 9 - REGIONAL IMPACT

Major impact -Primary water or sewer main serving an entire system

Moderate impact -Waterline or storm sewer serving only part of a system

Minimal impact -Individual waterline or storm sewer not

part of a system